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## Why we need to improve the regulations

$\checkmark$ Qualified teachers
$\checkmark$ World class curriculum guidelines
$\times$ Very poor teacher:child ratios
$\times$ Serious overcrowding indoors
$\times$ Inadequate outdoor space
$\times$ No group size limits
$\times$ No effective protection against noise
$\times$ No effective protection against air pollution (centre location issues)

## Regulating ratios and group size

Ratios and group size are absolutely interconnected, and both have primarily to do with relationships. If ratios are reduced to the point where supervision is the main function, then quality of education and care will be very low.

Ratios alone cannot ensure that all children are in secure relationships with adults through the day. Large group sizes increase relationship complexity beyond a point that is manageable, and may see some children lost in the crowd.

The primary purpose of regulations for ratios and group size is child protection. Quality of care and education cannot be ensured simply by regulation; they are factors in a complex mix involving pedagogical practice and environmental design.

Regulations need to allow for less than ideal conditions due to fluctuations in children's enrolments, and the need to balance group size with teaching team size.

## Ratios and group size - Childcare.gov

| Your child's age | No more than this number of <br> children per trained adult (child-children in each group or <br> to-adult ratio) | Maximum number of <br> class (group size) | Total number of adults in a full <br> group or class |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Infant (younger <br> than 12 months) | 1 trained adult should not care for <br> more than 3-4 infants | No more than 6-8 infants <br> together in a group | 2 trained adults should always be <br> present in a full group of 6-8 infants |
| Young toddler (1- <br> 2 years) | 1 trained adult should not care for <br> more than 3-6 young toddlers | No more than 6-12 young <br> toddlers in a group or <br> classroom | 2 trained adults should always be <br> present in a full group of 6-12 <br> young toddlers |
| Older toddler (2-3 <br> years) | 1 trained adult should not care for <br> more than 4-6 older toddlers | No more than 8-12 older <br> toddlers in a group or <br> classroom | 2 trained adults should always be <br> present in a full group of 8-12 older <br> toddlers |
| Preschooler (3-5 | 1 trained adult should not care for <br> more than 6-10 preschoolers | No more than 12-20 <br> preschoolers in a group or <br> classroom | 2 trained adults should always be <br> present in a full group of 12-20 <br> preschoolers |
| School age | 1 trained adult should not care for <br> more than 10-12 school-age <br> children | No more than 20-24 school- <br> age children in a group or <br> classroom | 2 trained adults should always be <br> present in a full group of 20-24 <br> school-age children |

ChildCare.gov is operated by the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families, Office of Child Care (OCC).

## Group size

Group size and staff-child ratio. In European countries there is a wide range in the group size of childcare facilities. For the 0-3-year olds the size ranges from 10 to 14 children.

For the older age group the size varies from 20 children, for example, in Austria or the Slovak Republic, to 30 children in France.

In Poland, the maximum group size is not yet regulated.

CESifo DICE Report 1/2010. https://www.ifo.de/DocDL/dicereport110-db5.pdf
DICE Report is a quarterly journal featuring articles on institutional regulations and economic policy measures that offer country comparative analyses.
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## Ratios and group size - international examples


${ }^{\text {a }} 1: 2$ children under 2 years who are cared for above ground floor level. 1:3 children under 2 years. 1:8 children aged 2-7 year
${ }^{\mathrm{b}} 1: 8$ unqualified, $1: 13$ if qualified teacher led.
${ }^{\text {c }} 2: 25$ for a 'preschool programme'

## Group size - purpose

Group size limitation is primarily about relationships.
Group size limits have the purpose of:

1. Limiting the social interaction complexity imposed on a child for most of the attendance time, and is associated with belonging.
2. Limiting the number of children a teacher needs to build relationships with to ensure that those relationship can be maintained, with suitable attention to individual child needs and development.
3. Ensuring that all children have secure relationships with adults during the day.
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## Group size - definitions

'Group size' means the numbers of children in a spatially separated group, with specifically allocated teachers (counted in ratio), applied for $80 \%$ of the operational day.
'Spatially separated' means separated from other groups by being in separate rooms, where a 'room' is a space divided from other spaces by a barrier at least 1.5 m high.
>Group size does not apply outside.
> Group size doesn't mean room size or centre size.
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Age grouped

The limits are set for the youngest child in the group

| Teacher led, long day (max 11 hrs ) |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Minimum <br> teacher:child ratio | Max Group <br> size | Group size <br> to ratio |
| Under 18 months | $1: 3$ | 9 | $3 x$ |
| 18 to 30 months | $1: 4$ | 12 | $3 x$ |
| 24 to 36 months | $1: 6$ | 18 | $3 x$ |
| 3 years and over | $1: 8$ | 32 | $4 x$ |


| Teacher led, school day (max 7 hrs) |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Minimum <br> teacher:child ratio | Max Group <br> size | Group size <br> to ratio |
| Under 18 months | $1: 3$ | 9 | $3 x$ |
| 18 to 30 months | $1: 4$ | 12 | $3 x$ |
| 24 to 36 months | $1: 6$ | 18 | $3 x$ |
| 30 to 36 months | $1: 7$ | 35 | $5 x$ |
| 3 years and over | $1: 8$ | 40 | $5 x$ |

Sessional and school age are set to match traditional sessional and current 6-7 hour kindergarten environments, but there is a reduction in capacity if children are enrolled at under three years old.

There is recognition here that many kindergartens do not have the ability to split groups.

It's not good to see children in childcare for 11 hours a day, but there can be adverse consequences for children by making a 10 hour limit. It may mean that children are in commercially zoned child care with a long drive rather than a better quality centre closer to home.
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# Ratios and group sizes proposed $\underline{3}$ years after legislation passed <br> Age grouped - sessional 

The limits are set for the youngest child in the group

| Teacher led, sessional (max 4 hrs) |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Minimum <br> teacher:child ratio | Max Group <br> size | Group size <br> to ratio |
| Under 12 months | $1: 3$ | 9 | $3 x$ |
| 12 to 30 months | $1: 4$ | 12 | $3 x$ |
| 24 to 36 months | $1: 6$ | 24 | $4 x$ |
| 30 to 36 months | $1: 10$ | 40 | $4 x$ |
| 3 years and over | $1: 15$ | 45 | $3 x$ |
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Ratios and group sizes proposed 3 years after legislation passed
Mixed age

| Teacher led, mixed age, all ages |  |  |  | Teacher led, mixed age, over 1 year |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total number of children | Max under 12 months | Max all children under 30 months | Minimum teachers | Total number of children | Max children 12 to 30 months | Minimum teachers |
| Up to 10 | 1 | 5 | 2 | Up to 12 | 6 | 2 |
| 11-15 | 2 | 7 | 3 | 13-18 | 9 | 3 |
| 15-20 | 3 | 10 | 4 | 19-24 | 12 | 4 |
| 21-25 | 4 | 12 | 5 | 25-30 | 15 | 5 |

This model lends itself very well to village-type centre designs, e.g. $5 \times 25$ child 'houses', for a total centre size of 125 , while maintaining small groups and community.

These tables are for environments that do not have age groupings.

## Practical considerations include:

- The extra attention demands from very young children
- The need to allow flexibility of ages for enrolment demand variations
- The need for enough teachers to cover various needs and activities, indoors and outdoors

It's important to stress that these are group size limits not centre size limits, so for example, a fully mixed age centre with babies could have a group of 20 children with four teachers, and a group of 25 children with five teachers, for a total of 45 children.
Numbers are 'in ratio', so a team would be supplemented with an extra teacher, possibly
shared across two groups, depending on group size.

## Ratios and group size transition

| Age range with age <br> division | Minimum Ratio | Max Group Size |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Immediate |  |  |
| Under 2 years | $1: 4$ | 12 |
| Over 2 years | $1: 10$ | 40 |
| After 18 months |  |  |
| Under 2 years | $1: 4$ | 12 |
| $2-3$ years | $1: 7$ | 21 |
| Over 3 years | $1: 10$ | 40 |

We can't get there in one go .
The priority for immediate improvements goes to getting rid of the one to five ratio for under 2 s , and starting to put in place group size limits.

There are a number of teachers who specialise in the under two age group. if we can shift to a compulsory max 1:4 under 2 s , and limit the group size for this age to 12 , there is a potential to bring teachers back and stabilise the under 2's workforce which is where the most damage is being done.

The next priority after two years (because of the need to have more teachers trained), is to reduce the ratio for the toddlers, with an accompanying group size reduction.
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| Jurisdiction | Age or needs group | $\mathrm{m}^{2}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline N Z \\ \text { equivalent } \\ m^{2} \text { by } \\ \text { description } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Montana | Special needs | 5.00 | 5.50 |
| Illinois | Play and sleep | 5.11 | 4.60 |
| Michigan | Infants \& toddlers | 4.65 | 4.18 |
| Denver | Infants | 4.65 | 4.18 |
| Republic of Ireland | 0-1 year | 3.5 | 3.85 |
| Isle of Man | Under two years | 3.7 | 3.70 |
| Saskatchewan |  | 3.70 | 3.70 |
| Vermont |  | 3.25 | 3.58 |
| Australian National Regulations |  | 3.25 | 3.58 |
| British Columbia |  | 3.70 | 3.52 |
| Minnesota |  | 3.25 | 3.41 |
| Oklahoma | Infants | 3.72 | 3.34 |
| Pennsylvania |  | 3.72 | 3.34 |
| Newfoundland and Labrador |  | 3.30 | 3.30 |
| 11 US States | Includes Arizona <1 year | 3.25 | 3.25 |
| Republic of Ireland | 1-2 years | 2.8 | 3.08 |
| Ontario |  | 2.80 | 3.08 |
| Texas |  | 2.79 | 3.07 |
| Manitoba |  | 3.30 | 2.97 |
| 7 US States |  | 3.25 | 2.93 |
| United Kingdom | 0-2 years | 3.5 | 2.8 |
| Denver | Other than infants | 2.79 | 2.79 |
| New Zealand pre-2008 |  | 2.50 | 2.75 |
| Alabama |  | 2.97 | 2.68 |
| Republic of Ireland | 2-3 years | 2.36 | 2.6 |
| Republic of Ireland | 3-6 years | 2.3 | 2.53 |
| Tennessee |  | 2.79 | 2.51 |
| New Zealand |  |  | 2.50 |
| Arizona | Over 1 year | 2.32 | 2.32 |
| Isle of Man | Over 2 years | 2.3 | 2.3 |
| Illinois | Infants | 2.32 | 2.09 |
| United Kingdom | 2-3 years | 2.5 | 2 |
| United Kingdom | Over 3 years | 2.3 | 1.84 |
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## Indoor space

## Existing centre transition time from passing legislation (with funding):

| After 6 months: | $2.75 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ | Again we can't get there in one go. <br> After 18 months:$\quad 3.0 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| After 3 years: | $3.5 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ | Indoor space increases after six months to return <br> it in affect to the 2008 level, and then in two <br> increments to match the Australian standard <br> (after wording matching). |
| New centres (with funding): | We can't shift new centres too far at once or <br> there will be potentially damaging discrepancy <br> between new centres and existing ones. |  |
| Immediate: | $3.0 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ | $3.5 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ |
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## Outdoor space

## Existing centres (with funding):

After 6 months:
After 18 months: ..... $6 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$$5.5 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$
After 3 years: ..... $7.0 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$
New centres (with funding):
Immediate: ..... $10 m^{2}$

Outdoor space increments match the indoor space increments, So that the changes are not out of step, and are matched to proportional increases in funding.

For new centres we don't ever want to see centres developed with small outdoor areas again, so we want to ensure that the land area is big enough and not over developed.

For comparison, traditional kindergarten and Playcentre outdoor areas are normally $15 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ to $25 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ per child.

## Email feedback to Mike Bedford change@ecereform.org

Pay a visit to www.ecereform.org and join us!

Membership is confidential, donation request just \$20 / year.
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